Date of issue: $4^{\text {th }}$ July, 2011

| MEETING | OVERVIEW \& SCRUTINY COMMITTEE <br> (Councillors M S Mann (Chair), Basharat, Davis, Haines, <br> Minhas, Munawar, O'Connor, Plenty and Smith ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATE AND TIME: | TUESDAY, 12TH JULY, 2011 AT 6.30 PM |
| VENUE: | COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, BATH ROAD, <br> SLOUGH |
| DEMOCRATIC SERVICES <br> OFFICER: <br> (for all enquiries) | TERESA CLARK |

## NOTICE OF MEETING

You are requested to attend the above Meeting at the time and date indicated to deal with the business set out in the following agenda.


RUTH BAGLEY
Chief Executive

## AGENDA

PART 1

CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS

1. Declarations of Interest
(Members are reminded of their duty to declare personal and personal prejudicial interests in matters coming before this meeting as set out in the Local Code of Conduct).
2. Minutes of the Last Meeting held on 7th June, 2011
3. Minutes of the Joint Overview and Scrutiny

Committee and Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Panel held on 9th June 2011

## SCRUTINY ISSUES

4. Member Questions
(An opportunity for Committee Members to receive a response to prior notified questions from the relevant Director/ Assistant Director, relating to pertinent, topical issues affecting their Directorate maximum of 10 minutes allocated ).
5. Performance and Project Reporting for 2010/11end of year out-turns
(10 Mins Presentation-30 Mins Questions)
6. Revenue Budget Monitoring to 31st May, 2011(Periods One and Two)-TO FOLLOW
(10 Mins Presentation-30 Mins Questions)
7. Climate Change Strategy
(10 Mins Presentation-15 Mins Questions)
8. Provision of Mental Health In- Patient Beds in East Berkshire- Report from Health Scrutiny Panel meeting held on 22nd June, 2011- TO FOLLOW
(10 Mins Presentation-15 Mins Questions)
9. Consideration of reports marked to be noted/for information
(The Committee will consider any reports marked to be noted/for information and determine whether
future scrutiny is considered necessary: maximum of 5 minutes allocated).
10. Forward Work Programme
55-56
11. Attendance Record 57-58
12. Date of Next Meeting- 13th September, 2011


#### Abstract

Press and Public You are welcome to attend this meeting which is open to the press and public, as an observer. You will however be asked to leave before the Committee considers any items in the Part II agenda. Special facilities may be made available for disabled or non-English speaking persons. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer shown above for furthers details.
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# Overview \& Scrutiny Committee - Meeting held on Tuesday, 7th June, 2011. 

Present:- Councillors M S Mann (Chair), Basharat, Davis, Haines, Minhas, Munawar, O'Connor, Plenty and Smith

Also present under Rule 30:- Councillors Matloob and Parmar

## PART I

## 1. Declaration of Interest

None.
2. Minutes - 31 st March, 2011

The minutes of the meeting held on 31st March, 2011 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

## 3. Appointment of Scrutiny Panels

The Committee considered a report recommending the re-appointment of the three Overview and Scrutiny Panels for the 2011/12 municipal year (it was noted that the number of Panels had reduced from four to three). The Committee noted the seat allocations that had been calculated to reflect Political Group membership and statutory proportionality entitlement, which equated to 6 appointments to be offered to the Labour Group, 2 to the Conservative Group and 1 to the BILLD Group. The Chairs of the Panels would be offered to a member of the Administration and it had been indicated that the three Vice-Chair positions would be offered to the Opposition Group. Details of the nominations received from the political groups were noted and the Committee was requested to appoint the 3 Scrutiny Panels and the members nominated. Details were also presented of the co-opted members for formal approval.

## Resolved -

(a) That the Panels set out in paragraph 5.1 of the report be appointed for the 2011/12 municipal year.
(b) That the Committee note the allocation of seats to the Panels as set out in paragraph 5.2 of the report.
(c) That the Committee agree the allocation of Chairs and Vice-Chairs of Panels on the basis set out in paragraph 5.3 and that the Panels be invited to appoint their Chairs and Vice-Chairs at their first meetings.
(d) That Members be appointed to serve on each of the Panels in accordance with the wishes expressed by Political Groups in respect of seats allocated to them as set out in paragraph 5.4 of the report.
(e) That the co-opted Member listed in paragraph 5.6 of the report be appointed to the Education \& Children's Services Panel.
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## 4. Member Questions

Two verbal questions were submitted regarding the identification of the relevant officer on parking enforcement correspondence and the current position on Broom and Poplar. It was agreed that the Director of Resources would arrange a response for the relevant members.

The Committee discussed the preferred option for the notification of future member questions and it was suggested that it would be helpful if questions were submitted in advance of the meeting in order that a full response be provided.

Resolved - That future questions be submitted 5 clear working days in advance of the meeting so that a written response by the relevant Director can be provided at the meeting.

## 5. Outturn 2010-11 Performance and Finance Report

Julie Evans, Director of Resources, introduced a report setting out the Council's overall performance from delivery of service to financial management for the period up to and including March 2011 against exception performance monitoring and outlining the revenue and capital monitoring position.

Kevin Gordon, Assistant Director, Professional Services, referred the Committee to the performance aspect of the report including the SBC Corporate Scorecard setting out exceptions, and the Gold Project update which provided individual project progress reports. It was noted that of the 8 active gold projects, 5 had an overall green status, 2 had an amber status and none of the projects had a red status. The Culture, Learning and Library Service Transformation programme had now been completed and the Committee was advised that the closure report was being developed.

Members asked a number of detailed questions about various projects including the Adult Social Care Transformation Programme. It was agreed that the Assistant Director would take these questions to the next Project Board meeting and provide a response to all Committee members. Further questions were submitted regarding the Britwell and Haymill Regeneration project and the Director advised that previously this had been classed as more than one project incorporating several separate elements. The Director responded to a number of questions regarding the Town Hall and the provision of future committee facilities. The Committee was advised that in the medium term the building would be used as a school but it was hoped that the scheme would incorporate council use of the building outside of school hours. Interim arrangements for meetings at St Martin's Place would be explored together with the use of alternative facilities at the Centre. The Director also advised that designers would be sympathetic to the protection of the core section of the building but highlighted that the Town Hall did not have listed building status and she had not yet viewed the designs for the building.
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In response to a question regarding the provision of storage, the Director advised that it was a statutory requirement that some documents must be retained for six years but it was not necessary to store these documents on site and the Council used an archive facility at Berkshire Records Office in Reading. In response to a further question the Director advised that she would forward details of the cost of this facility to the Committee.

The Committee debated the performance of NI50 - Emotional Health of Children and the way in which this indicator was measured. Key Stage 2 results were also discussed and it was clear that contributory factors included the fact that in many homes English was not a first language. It was agreed that a response to a question regarding the failure to meet the target for library visits would be forwarded to the Committee.

## Financial Reporting

Members considered the financial position that had been presented and the Director of Resources explained the under spend for 2010/11. The Committee discussed the variances and how the underspends were treated with regard to virement and carry forwards. The Committee noted the position regarding education budgets and that the rules on Academies were tighter than community schools.

The Committee welcomed Emma Foy who had been appointed to the position of Head of Finance.

Resolved - That the report be noted.

## 6. Economic Downturn and the Effect on Slough - Update

Shabnam Ali, Economic Development Policy Officer, outlined a report to provide an update on the Economic Downturn as requested previously at the Committee meeting on $10^{\text {th }}$ November 2010.

It was highlighted that unemployment in April 2011 was 3.9\%, compared to 2.5\% in the South-East of England and 3.7\% nationally. This reflected an increase to 3,343 residents, up by 169 residents from November, 2010. It was noted that of those claiming job seekers allowance, 2350 people had been unemployed for up to six months and 630 people for between 6 and 12 months. The number of claimants over 12 months had risen to 360 and it was felt that it was long term unemployment which would have potential serious and deep societal impacts.

The Committee noted the provision of employment support, the availability of new jobs and the establishment of the Thames Valley Berkshire Local Enterprise Partnership. The Officer concluded that the Slough economy was recovering at a very steady rate but much uncertainty and concern about real economic stability and growth remained.
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The Committee asked a number of questions regarding the measures being taken to encourage retailers to come to Slough and the proportion of jobs which were filled by local residents. The Committee also discussed the skills mix in Slough and the importance of ensuring that 16-24 year olds were encouraged to engage with the voluntary sector. The Chief Executive suggested that a systematic approach to voluntary work could be considered.

It was agreed that a table of current statistics would be circulated to the Committee together with details of the number of persistent unemployed residents in Slough. It was also agreed that details of the number of job vacancies generated by the Heart of Slough schemes would be provided to the Committee in respect of the number of local permanent contractors.

Resolved - That the report be noted.

## 7. Census 2011-Update

Naveed Mohammed, Scrutiny Officer, outlined a report providing an update on the delivery of the Census 2011 project in Slough. The Officer discussed the delivery of the project and operational phase 2. Feedback to date suggested that the response rate across Slough had been universally better than in 2001. The Committee noted the importance of the quality assurance phase and that there was no formal deadline for the submission of information and data. Slough would therefore continue to submit data on an as and when basis and this would be helpful where there was new evidence on sheds and HMO's. The Officer discussed the overall impact of the 2011 census project, and the key lessons learnt.

The Officer concluded that so far the project appeared to have been a success in increasing the response rate and the investment in the quality assurance process should reinforce a higher population figure.

The Committee discussed a number of areas of concern including the statistics for the Colnbrook with Poyle area, the lack of permanent translation services in the town, and the number of HMO's in the town. The Chief Executive emphasised that the changed to boundaries in 2004 would be based on the numbers registered on the electoral role and not on the population statistics gathered through the census.

## Resolved-

(a) That the report be noted.
(b) That the ONS be requested to attend the next meeting of the Committee on $12^{\text {th }}$ July, 2011 to discuss a number of issues including data quality, quality assurance and the continuing gap.
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## 8. Future Provision of Transactional Services for Slough Borough Council Progress Update Report

Philip Hamberger, Assistant Director, Commercial and Transactional Services, outlined a report to update the Committee on the progress to-date regarding the procurement and establishment of the Transactional Services Centre in Slough.

The Assistant Director discussed the management of risks which would be identified and managed through existing risk management policies and reported on a regular basis. It was emphasised that it was important to drive the venture forward quickly and the Committee was referred to the procurement timetable. It was noted that 8 suppliers had completed prequalifying questionnaires and had submitted an outline solution. All potential suppliers had attended an evaluation workshop on $28^{\text {th }}$ March 2011 and the feedback received was positive. 300 questions were raised by suppliers following an invitation to submit an online solution/invitation to participate dialogue and these were answered in line with the timetable. All 8 companies had submitted bids which were being evaluated and dialogue meetings were scheduled for the week commencing $13^{\text {th }}$ June, 2011. The Assistant Director concluded that the procurement phase was going well and on schedule. Further reports would be provided to the Committee as the project developed.

In response to a member question, the Assistant Director confirmed that Transactional Services would be added to the Gold Project report as a standard item. The Committee requested that an update report be provided at its next meeting.

## Resolved-

(a) That the proposed recommendations to Cabinet be noted.
(b) That an update report be submitted to the Committee at its next meeting on $12^{\text {th }}$ July, 2011.

## 9. Consideration of reports marked to be noted/ for information

No reports were received.

## 10. Forward Work Programme

A number of suggestions were made regarding items to be considered by the Committee. It was suggested that the concern regarding incidents of abuse in Care Homes highlighted recently in the press be considered by the Committee and it was agreed that this matter would be referred to the Health Scrutiny Panel for consideration. It was also agreed that a request to discuss the Council's current policy on the Right to Buy Scheme be referred to the Neighbourhoods and Community Services Scrutiny Panel for consideration.
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The programme was updated as follows:

- $12^{\text {th }}$ July 2011- Future Provision of Transactional Services for Slough Borough Council (update report).

Resolved - That the Work Programme be noted.
11. Date of Next Meeting - 12th July, 2011

The next meeting of the Committee would be held on $12^{\text {th }}$ July, 2011.

Chair
(Note: The Meeting opened at 6.30 pm and closed at 9.19 pm )

# Joint Overview \& Scrutiny Committee and Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Panel - Meeting held on Thursday, 9th June, 2011. 

Present:- Councillors Abe, Basharat, Davis, Dar, Haines, M S Mann, Minhas, Munawar, O'Connor, Sharif, Plenty and Smith

Education Non-Voting Co-optee;- Mr C McGeachie
Also present under Rule 30:- Councillors Plimmer
Apologies for Absence:- Councillor P K Mann

## PART I

## 1. Election of Chair for the Joint Meeting

Councillor O'Connor was elected Chair for the duration of the meeting.
(Councillor O'Connor in the Chair)
2. Declaration of Interest

No declarations of interest were received.
3. Presentation of Petition

The Chair accepted a petition presented by Councillor Plimmer on behalf of residents. The petition requested the Council to "Save Horsemore Green Youth Centre". The Chair advised that a more detailed report on youth services would be submitted to the September Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Panel at which the petition would be taken into consideration.
4. Call-in - Questions following the report on IYSS presented to Cabinet on 14th March 2011

The Director of Education and Children's Services advised that the call-in had been received in response to a paper taken to Cabinet in March regarding Integrated Youth Support Services. The Call-in raised a number of questions to which the Director responded.

One of the parties of the jointly submitted call-in advised that historically when youth provision passed from Berkshire to Slough it was hoped that there would be more control over the youth service. Currently it was hoped that a universal service, accessible to all Slough residents could be retained. However the member felt that in the previous years the service had eroded and administration costs had significantly increased and the number of full time youth workers had decreased. It was noted that the government had recently removed significant amounts of funding from this area of work and it was therefore not possible to
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compare especially as requirements of the youth service had changed considerably.

A Member questioned how much had been taken from the budget and was advised that this was contained within the March Cabinet paper. Members asked further questions with regards to youth service provision and were advised that these issues were much broader than the originally call-in and could be addressed at the September meeting. A member advised that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee was informed that there was an underspend of $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ on the youth services budget and asked how much of the total budget this amounted to. The Director advised that the total budget was $£ 3.69 \mathrm{~m}$.

In response to the second question raised in the call-in the Director advised that centres were not being closed down but services were being delivered differently. A Member asked how much funding was being provided to the voluntary services for youth provision. The Director advised the Panel that the amount was approximately $£ 75 \mathrm{k}$. Slough would be looking at the overall provision of Council buildings and how they were utilised by different services and organisations. A Member raised concerns that once the buildings were sold off these could not be replaced if needed in the future. However it was noted by the Commissioner for Opportunities and Skills that this would form part of the Asset review and was not something that could be answered by Children's Services.

In response to the third question the Panel was advised that there was no plan to employ more street based teams. The current teams were very experienced in providing a good service and it was confirmed that this would continue to be the case. A Member asked whether, with the prospect of more focus on specialist provision, youth workers would be able to adapt to this role. The Panel was advised that it was important to have youth workers who could be versatile. With the recent cuts the youth service had been slimmed down from 112 staff to 76 but 57 frontline staff had been retained.

The Chair advised that some of the issues raised in the meeting would be picked up at the September meeting and extended an invitation to all Councillors to attend.

## Resolved:-

(a) That a report on Youth Services be brought to the September meeting of the Scrutiny Panel.
(b) That the March Cabinet report on IYSS be distributed to Scrutiny Panel Members.
(Note: The Meeting opened at 6.30 pm and closed at 7.02 pm )

## SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO:
CONTACT OFFICER: (For all enquiries)

WARDS

Overview and Scrutiny Committee<br>Kevin Gordon, Assistant Director (Professional Services) 01753875213

All

## PART I

FOR COMMENT AND CONSIDERATION

## PERFORMANCE AND PROJECT REPORTING FOR 2010/11 - END OF YEAR OUT-TURNS

## 1. Introduction and purpose of report

This report shows the performance outturns 2010/11 for the Corporate Balanced Scorecard and Local Area Agreement performance measures and includes an exception report which highlights those indicators where performance has not met target. Please note that not all end-of-year figures have been fully finalised and these will be updated when available.

## Recommendation/proposed actions

Members are asked:

- To note the progress and status of performance measures in the balanced scorecard report.
- To review the actions for those indicators that have not met their targets highlighted in the exceptions report.
- To note the continuing gaps in the report and endorse actions.

The Local Area Agreement ('LAA') was signed up to in 2008, as a three year improvement cycle, to address the key priorities for Slough identified in the Sustainable Community Strategy. During the lifetime of the LAA we have experienced a change in national government, a significant economic downturn and a complete revision of the National Indicator Framework. Many of the National Indicators chosen for monitoring in the LAA have subsequently been abolished, with methods for collection, processing and / or reporting being removed. This means that, although the 'end' of the LAA has been reached, we are unable to quantify a final position on those indicators so affected. Other National Indicators, whilst continuing for the moment to be collected, are not yet finalised for the LAA end e.g. school achievement measures which depend on the results of examinations being sat by pupils in the current 2011 summer term. Also, end of year reporting is subject to additional layers of rigorous quality assurance checks and performance indicator values are determined as part of a suite of statistical data reported on government prescribed statutory returns, each of which must pass a series of external validations before the 'final' result can be determined. Statutory return submission dates run from end of May onwards.

This paper provides an update on performance covering the period to the $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2011 for review. The performance report is split into two key areas:

1. Performance Monitoring Update (detail provided in Appendices A, B, C) Appendices:

A: Summary of good performance and corrective actions for 'RED' rated indicators of under performance. Corrective actions in cases of underperformance have been proposed by operational staff responsible for performance delivery, and have been endorsed by the appropriate Assistant Director and / or Director.

B: LSP LAA Scorecard: detailed information on performance measures within the LAA.
C: Slough Borough Council's Organisational scorecard.
D: Proposal for new scorecard for use in remainder of 2011-12.

## 2. Performance Monitoring Update

2.1.The attached SBC Corporate Scorecard Exceptions Report (Appendix A) provides an update on exceptions during the period $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2010 to $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2011, drawing attention to: 2.1.1. Areas of improved performance; and
2.1.2. Areas of exception.
2.2. The report comprises of exceptions from both:
2.2.1. The LAA Scorecard (Appendix B) - which relates to the indicators in the LAA including four local targets on priority areas (based on National Indicators 124, 59, 32 and 187), and:
2.2.2. The Organisational Scorecard (Appendix C) - which relates to performance indicators previously selected by CMT members to determine the organisational health of the Council.
2.2.3. For a full list of indicators please visit the link mentioned below:

## http://sbcinsite.ad.slough.gov.uk/3709.aspx

2.2.4. Below is a summary of the RAG status for the LAA and organisational score card Indicators as at 31st March 2011. Those which failed to achieve target are rated 'Red'. Note that 21 measures are still awaiting finalisation, due to the process of external validation and / or collection.

2.2.5. Appendices $A-C$ provide fuller detail on each of the measures.

## 3. Next steps

3.1.1. The Sustainable Community Strategy is undergoing a review and refresh, with the current priority areas for the local area being determined. The end of the LAA and the review of Sustainable Community Strategy mentioned above provides us with a rich opportunity to redefine both the priorities of greatest importance to Slough communities in 2011 and the years ahead, and to redefine the quantitative and qualitative measures that will best measure our collective success in addressing those priorities. To this end, a proposed performance management scorecard is under discussion with senior managers of the council. A draft version was tabled to CMT on $22^{\text {nd }}$ June and a revised draft of this report is provided as Appendix D of this report.
3.1.2. CMT have been asked to endorse the proposed method for performance reporting in 2011-12, and to permit a similar consultation with members to ensure that a revised single model be adopted that also meets their requirements for scrutiny and for determining successful achievement of manifesto commitments.

## APPENDIX A: SBC COUNCIL WIDE BALANCED SCORECARD INCORPORATING LAA TARGETS - EXCEPTIONS

This exception report provides and update on performance covering the period from 1st April 2010 to 31st March 2011. It comprises 7 exceptions from the LAA Balanced Scorecard and 2 from the Corporate Balanced Scorecard. This report relates to performance indicators selected by members of CMT to determine the organisational health of the council and those related to indicators in the LAA.

PLEASE VISIT: http://sbcinsite.ad.slough.gov.uk/3709.aspx - FOR A FULL LIST OF ALL INDICATORS

## EXCEPTION SUMMARY

Many of the National Indicators can only be measured once per year. The choice of indicators monitored in quarterly reports is limited to those where performance information is available.

D Note that $40 \%$ of our LAA measures (20 discrete indicators) are rated 'Green' as having fully achieved or exceeded the target set. Just 7 indicators did not achieve the ultimate aspirational target, and these are detailed beneath. The remainder are awaiting final results or can no

For measures on the organisational scorecard, $42 \%$ are rated 'Green' as having achieved or exceeded target. Two are reported below as not achieving the desired target. Two can no longer be reported against due to the national abandonment of the necessary reporting framework, and seven are awaiting final results.

## Notable successes include:

## Community Safety

Serious acquisitive crime rate (NI16) and assault with injury crime rates ( NI 20 ) are down. We have fewer young offenders with fewer first time entrants to the criminal justice system ( NI 111 ). A higher proportion of young offenders are now engaged in suitable employment, education or training ( NI 45 ). We have achieved fewer primary fires, and corresponding reduction in fatalities and casualties (NI 49).

## Environment

We have increased the proportion of household waste that is recycled or composted, and diverted waste from landfill (NI 192). Fewer children travel to school by car, with corresponding reduction in congestion at peak times (NI 198).

## Economy and Skills

More Slough children now achieve better results at Early Years Foundation Stage (NI 72), make the required progress in maths throughout primary schooling (NI 94) and we are closing the gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers at end of primary school ( NI 102a). Slough secondary schools continue to achieve well above comparator averages at GCSE level (NI 75) and maintain low secondary school absence levels (NI 87).

## Health and Wellbeing

We have exceeded targets for increasing the proportion of adult social care users who have self-directed support packages (NI 130), providing greater choice and control, and increased the proportion of carers being assessed and provided with support or information and guidance for their caring responsibilities ( NI 135 ).

The 9 LAA indicators given a Red status are shown below together with any comments / corrective-actions:

## LAA Measures

- NI 8: Adult participation (16+) in sport. Participation in moderate intensity (includes some light intensity for 65+) sport/recreation for 30 minutes three or more days a week.
This indicator measures the proportion of surveyed adults who self-report that they take part in moderate intensity sport or other physical exercise several times a week. As such, a high and increasing proportion would indicate greater general exercise levels, with positive implications for health and wellbeing.
The original National Indicator has been deleted, but Slough has adopted a local proxy measure to stand in its place. The most recent update (June 2011) indicates that $16.9 \%$ of surveyed adults took part in the requisite physical activity for the rolling period April 2009- April 2011. This represents an increase of $2.3 \%$ from the original baseline ( $14.6 \%$ for the rolling period April 08 - April 2010). We have therefore secured a positive move in the desired direction (i.e. more adult participation) but have not achieved the level of our aspirational LAA target.


## Corrective Actions:

The Slough Sport and Physical Activity Forum has developed an action plan (adopted by the Slough Active Team) to encourage wider participation in sport and exercise across all age groups. Actions taken to date include:

- a successful bid for LPSA funding to increase gym membership,
- a targeted marketing campaign to increase frequency of use of sporting facilities and the promotion of free swimming for children and those aged 60 plus,
- the opening of the new Gym in the Slough Trading Estate together with Go Karting facilities.
- Actions and progress are monitored regularly by the Health and Wellbeing Priority Delivery Group. Five potential local measures are giving us a better understanding of local issues and are under review. These will underpin a more robust action plan which is being developed with support from IDeA.
- NI 59: Initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 7 working days of referral

This indicator tries to establish whether children who are in need are having their needs assessed rapidly. The thinking behind it is that swift assessment of needs will lead in turn to swift service provision to meet those needs. Note that the measure is a crude one in delivering this objective, makes no reference to the quality of the assessments and does not lead onto any information as to the provision of any required support. As such, it has been criticised in the Munro review of child protection and children's services, and has been proposed in that report for deletion.

Latest provisional performance for the end of March 2011 is at $69.7 \%$. The last reported comparator values were those achieved in 2009/10 when the England average was $67.1 \%$, and our statistical neighbour group average ( $67.7 \%$ ). Our indicative outturn is above these last known average positions. Comparisons for 2010/11 will not be released until autumn of this year.

Note: All Initial Assessment visits are undertaken within the statutory timescales, so children's immediate safety is always assessed.

## Corrective Actions:

- 'Blitz days' in place to permit workers sufficient time to prioritise write ups.
- Training to be set up by Assistant Team Managers to assist workers in developing concise assessment reports and analysis skills.
- Close monitoring of numbers of referrals through weekly review.
- Regular auditing of re-referrals and Initial Assessments to ensure consistent thresholds for services.
- Further developing the 'First Response' service (expanding on the previous Triage service) to more appropriately direct emerging needs for swift remedial action and support.
- Tight management oversight and review of assessment completion through fortnightly ICS steady state meetings.
- Developing robust multi-agency responses and services to families that prevent them from needing social work intervention.
- NI 73: Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2

This indicator measures the successful achievement of core standards by individual children in both English and Maths in the final year of primary school, and is measured once a year through national assessment testing. Achievement of national UK standards is more challenging in areas such as Slough, where a higher than average proportion of pupils will have English as an additional language.

Slough's 2010 performance of $71 \%$ means that $71 \%$ of pupils achieved the required standard in both subjects. This does not reach our aspirational target of $75 \%$, but does represent a significant increase (by $4 \%$ ) from the year before.

These results were likely to have been higher however the 2010 results exclude two of our high performing primary schools which boycotted the national SAT's and therefore cannot contribute data to the overall result.

Corrective Action:
Key Stage 2 results for 2010 show an improvement in performance for the first time in a number of years. However, this is not leading to complacency, but new vigour in intensifying the focused action in this area. Increased emphasis is being placed by the Local Authority on effective leadership and management, teaching and learning, assessment and data analysis to inform teaching, strengthening governance and improving attendance. The Local Authority has recently shifted its focus to concentrate primarily on its statutory functions of early support, monitoring, challenge and intervention. There is an intense drive on school improvement and raising standards, particularly with vulnerable groups. Schools are also very aware of the importance of driving hard on improvement and raising standards. The positive inspections which have taken place over recent months have shown an increase in good and outstanding outcomes supported by improvements in pupil attainment and progress. Activities within schools include the improved use of tracking and target setting to assess and drive pupil progress. There is also the judicious use of national programmes, one-to-one tuition and other intervention programmes used appropriately in schools, including modelling and the use of advanced skills teachers. The introduction of the Pupil Premium gives the possibility of smaller classes and more one-to-one tuition for the disadvantaged that will give increased opportunities and additional impetus to raising standards.

- NI 92: Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20\% in the Early Years Foundation Stage ('EYFS') Profile and the rest This indicator looks at educational success at the start of primary school. As such it is an effective measurement of the extent to which children are ready for school, and provides a baseline to inform their later achievement. In particular, NI 92 looks at the gap in achievement between the $20 \%$ of pupils who achieve lowest scores and the rest of their classmates. A large gap indicates a significant difference in readiness for school and places a context on the amount of progress that schools will be expected to make with their pupils if these inequalities of achievement are to be minimised. Efforts to reduce the gap must be directed at pre-school age children in order to have the most effect, but can be supported by more intensive support to children in their first year at school.

In 2009/10 the performance gap in Slough was 35.9\%. This represents a small improvement of $1 \%$ from the previous year, meaning that the gap is closing. However the Slough gap remains $1.7 \%$ wider than our target for 2009/10 (gap target was 34.2\%).

## Corrective Action:

The Sure Start Service has increased its emphasis on supporting and driving achievement, particularly for those most vulnerable to poor achievement, in line with the new national focus. Early intervention with school-type nursery provision for two year olds is seen as a
significant contribution to improvements in EYFS results in due course. More funding is being invested in contributing to the EYFS agenda and in integrating this work with the broader school improvement work which is more focused on the statutory responsibilities around monitoring, challenge and intervention. Efforts to support parents in providing children with pre-school learning and skills development are critical, and are needed from across the community and local partnership.

- NI 93: Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2

This measure assesses the progress made by pupils between educational key stage 1 (school years 1 and 2 ) and key stage 2 (school years 3 to 6). All children - regardless of starting point - are expected to make at least two levels of individual progress in this core subject over the course of their primary schooling. The measure therefore represents the proportion of children who have made at least two levels of progress in this time.

Slough's 2010 performance of $84 \%$ represents a $5 \%$ improvement from the previous year of $79 \%$. Whilst our performance is now in-line with the national and South East averages of $84 \%$, we narrowly failed to achieve our 2009/10 target ( $85 \%$ ) by just 1 percentage point which is the only reason this indicator is marked as red.

## Corrective Action:

Increased emphasis is being placed by the Local Authority on effective leadership and management, teaching and learning, assessment and data analysis to inform teaching and strengthen governance. Activities include the improved use of individualised tracking and target setting to assess and drive pupil progress. There is also the judicious use of national programmes, one-to-one tuition and other intervention programmes used appropriately in schools, including modelling and the use of advanced skills teachers. Education is not solely achieved at school, and therefore families and all interested community partners also have a role to play in enabling pupil progress.

- NI 102(b): Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at Key Stage 4
This measure assesses the differential achievement at key stage 4 (GCSE - year 11) between those children eligible for free school meals ('FSM') and their peers. Eligibility for free school meals is means-tested and therefore indicates low family income levels. Pupils with FSM have for many years been shown to achieve less well than others across the UK. Reducing this particular achievement gap is therefore key to ensuring childhood low-income does not limit later individual success and is critical in breaking cycles of generational poverty. This indicator is particularly difficult in Slough due to the high levels of deprivation in Slough in comparison to our neighbouring boroughs and the large number of children coming into Slough at Key Stage 4 from those neighbouring boroughs because of the local grammar school system.

In 2009/10 Slough's Free School Meals ('FSM') gap figure was $35 \%$; this is $1.5 \%$ wider than the previous year's value ( $33.5 \%$ ) and therefore shows that the gap has widened rather than reduced. The local FSM gap is just $1 \%$ wider than the South East's gap of $34 \%$ and only $2 \%$ adrift of our 2009/10 gap target of $33 \%$.

Improvements have been achieved by both pupils with FSM and those without FSM at this key stage. The results of Key Stage 4 pupils with no FSM improved by $4 \%$ year-in-year whereas pupils with FSM improved by a lower value of $2 \%$; this imbalance in achievement has resulted in the FSM gap widening.

Of our eleven secondary schools, our four selective grammar schools have a low percentage of pupils eligible for FSM. This contributes to the high achievement of KS4 pupils with no FSM (68\% of which achieve the GCSE standard, ranking Slough 10th nationally) compared with KS4 pupils eligible for FSM ( $33 \%$ of whom achieve the GCSE standard, ranking Slough 41st nationally).

## Corrective Action:

Any corrective action needs to be tempered by the fact that Slough pupils on Free School Meals (FSM) are making significant progress over the course of their education and by the end of Key Stage 4 have moved to a national ranking of 41 st nationally out of 152 authorities. At the same time this is not grounds for complacency. The Local Authority is currently upping its game in intensifying early support, and in monitoring, challenge and intervention with school improvement and raising standards, particularly focusing on vulnerable groups, such as those disadvantaged pupils on free school meals, as well as those with additional needs and those looked after. Recently, we have had Ofsted inspections of Slough secondary schools where all of the outcomes have been good or outstanding. The School Improvement Team is about to commission arrangements to bring in a senior officer to work intensively with schools on targeting vulnerable groups, including those with free school meals. Also data analysis is being focused to drill down to groups and individuals who require intensive support to raise their attainments. This data analysis and associated assessment is being used to inform teaching and learning, and to raise standards. Schools have recently reviewed their funding and have given priority in the allocation of spend to school improvement, standards and driving the attainment and progress of vulnerable groups. Additional support is currently available through one-to-one tuition alongside the allocation of the new Pupil Premium with the expectation this will be used to give smaller classes and more one-to-one tuition for the disadvantaged. The one-to-one tuition which is already in place has been proven to be beneficial. New data will be out in August (relating to exams sat in summer 2011) which will confirm whether or not these approaches have been successful.

## Organisational Measures

- Proxy Indicator for NI 9: Use of Public Libraries. The number of physical visits per 1000 population.

This measure is used to quantify the physical usage of libraries within the borough, and provides an indication of the extent to which this service is valued and used by the public. Given the variety of reasons for visiting libraries, increasing this measure can also be viewed as contributing to a cross-cutting number of priorities including improving educational success, employment options and general wellbeing.

The validated end of year performance will be available once the Public libraries CIPFA return is fully completed in June. Provisional performance is of 628,511 visits for the financial year April 2010 to March 2011 against our target of 656,000 visits shows we are below target and represents a decreasing trend. The principal reason for the trend is a steady decline in visits at the Central Library, which accounts for around $80 \%$ of all visits, which was in large part due to the need for refurbishment. Improved facilities at Cippenham and Langley resulted in increased visits at those venues. Other reasons for not achieving the 2010/11 target are: unreliability of public access PCs and impact of SBC server move; refurbishment at Langley and Slough resulted in no automatic people counters from September to December 2010 and a period of closure so estimates have been used. Although overall physical visits are showing a decreasing trend, it is important to note that virtual visits are continuing to show a strong increase as users access library services in other ways.

## Corrective Actions for 2011/12:

- Working with IT to improve the functionality and accessibility of public PCs.
- Building improvement plan for each library to improve accessibility and environment.
- Museum moving in to Slough central will increase range of visitors.
- New branding and publicity will improve the look and feel of the libraries.
- The range, display and presentation of stock are being improved.
- More robust performance system in place with clear priorities.
- Satellite libraries in Colnbrook, Chalvey and Wexham with improved access to services.
- NI 65: Children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan ('CPP’) for a second or subsequent time

This measure determines the proportion of children who have become subject to a child protection plan for a second or subsequent time. Nationally acknowledged 'best practice' states that a value of between 10 and $15 \%$ provides the best balance, and that as values diverge (either above $15 \%$ or below $10 \%$ ) councils should investigate to assure that there are no wider concerns as to quality of practice or community support to families who have previously needed this level of support.

Note that this indicator takes no account of time between CP Plans, nor of the reasons for the child becoming subject to a CPP. So, in theory a child may have a CPP briefly at birth, then again aged 17 for entirely different reasons and show up on this indicator.

In the year to March 31st 2011, a total of 162 children became subject to child protection plans; 30 of these had previously been subject to such an intervention to mitigate risk. The end of year outturn is therefore $18.5 \%$ - a higher value than our target, and above the 'Best practice' zone of 10-15\%.
In the majority of our Child Protection Plans, lasting improvements in child safety and overall well-being are achieved, which lead to the vast majority of children not requiring another CPP.

## Corrective Actions:

- All children who become subject to a CPP for a subsequent time will be assessed to determine the common pre-disposal factors to repeated risk. This will assist in determining and commissioning the most appropriate community interventions and support.
- Children's Social care will work ever more closely with community early intervention agencies and partner organisations to develop a sustainable 'step down' procedure that ensures families, children and young people have a comprehensive support plan in place to fully provide the assistance they need when discharged from a formal CPP. This will help ensure that child protection risk, once reduced to the point that a formal CPP can be ended, does not re-escalate at a later point.
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| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SLO } \\ \hline \text { NIS } \\ \text { Ref } \end{array}$ | OUGH | SP LAA 2010 | PERFOR | MAN |  |  |  |  |  | arch 2011 | Appendix B |  |  | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | Targets | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd Quarter results July-Sept 10/11 | 3rd Quarter results Oct-Dec 10/11 | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest <br> Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest <br> National <br> Quartile <br> Position <br> Where not <br> available <br> PWC <br> rankings <br> used | Latest <br> Comparato r Group Position <br> (Lowest IPF figure relates to best performanc e) |  |
| Part One |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 1 | Community cohesion | \% of people who believe people from different backgrounds get on well together in their local area PSA 21 | 68.5 <br> (08/09 <br> Place Survey) | 72.3\% |  | biennial |  | - | - | Deleted | Not applicable (deleted) | Not applicable (deleted) |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH: ( 2 yearly Place Survey) For 08/09 Slough's Performance sat at $68.50 \%$ which placed it in the lowest performing quartile nationally. SE Region average value was $78.9 \%$. England average value was $76.4 \%$. This performance will remain the same for 09/10 as the Place survey is biennial. Actions to improve performance include: A Community Cohesion Officer has now been recruited to coordinate the Community and Cohesion Strategy and support the partnership activity. |
| NI 5 | Community cohesion | Overall/general satisfaction with local area | 63.6\% (08/09 Place Survey) | 67.0\% | - | biennial | - | - | - | Deleted | Not applicable (deleted) | - | - | The Place Survey has been discontinued. No further replicable results will be available. |
|  | Health and Well Being | Adult participation (16+) in sport. Participation in moderate intensity(includes some light intensity for $65+$ )sport/recreation for 30 minutes three or more days a week. DELETED FOR 2010/11 but have proxy indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19.4\% } \\ & \text { 2005/06 } \end{aligned}$ | 24.4\% | 25.0\% | 14.6\% For the period April 2008 - April 2010 (published June 2010 latest update) |  | - | - | June 2011 update for rolling period April 09- April 11 is $16.9 \%$ | RED | lowest quartile for period April 08 - Mar 09 | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH: The most recent update(June 2011) is a performance outturn of $16.9 \%$ for the rolling period April 2009- April 2011 which represents an increase of $2.3 \%$ from 14.6\% for the rolling period April 08 April 2010. The Slough Sport and Physical Activity Forum has developed an action plan (adopted by the Slough Active Team) to encourage wider participation across all age groups. Actions taken to date include: a successful bid for LPSA funding to increase gym membership, a targeted marketing campaign to increase frequency of use of sporting facilities and the promotion of free swimming for children and those aged 60 plus, the opening of the new Gym in the Slough Trading Estate together with Go Karting facilities. Actions and progress are monitored by the Health and Wellbeing PDG. 5 potential local measures are giving us a better understanding of local issues and are under review. These underpin a more robust action plan developed with support from IDeA. |
| N 13 | Economy \& skills | [LOCAL] Migrants English language skills and knowledge: The \% of non English speaking third country nationals enrolling for ESOL Courses(full or partial) who successfully complete the courses. | $\begin{aligned} & 75.3 \%(07 / \\ & 08) \end{aligned}$ | 84.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | Deleted | - | - | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Due to problems with the defintion and system of data collection this indicator was deleted from the national indicator set from 08/09. A local indicator is being investigated to replace this national indicator |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NIS Ref | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Targets } \\ \hline \text { 2010/11 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd <br> Quarter <br> results <br> July-Sept <br> 10/11 | 3rd Quarter results Oct-Dec 10111 | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest National Quartile Position Where not available PWC rankings used | Latest Comparato r Group Position (Lowest IPF figure relates to best performanc e) | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| NI 16 | Safer Communiti es | Serious Acquisitive crime rate PSA 23 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 41.86 \text { per } \\ 1000 \\ 4998 \\ \text { crimes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33.82 \text { per } \\ & 1000 \\ & 4.89 \% \\ & \text { reduction } \end{aligned}$ |  | 36.25 crimes per 1,000 population. Number of crimes $=4394$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.13 \text { or } \\ & 920 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.86 \text { or } \\ & 1870 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.45 \text { or } \\ & 3011 \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.32 \text { or } 4021 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN |  | - | Actions to sustain performance include but is not limited to: <br> - Strengthen data analysis to include provision of localised maps at sector tasking meetings. <br> - Trafford has experienced $33 \%$ reduction in SAC: crime reduction to contact Trafford for best practise, • target hardening in hotspot areas (gating, CCTV etc), $\cdots$ Continuation of Op Challenger patrols of all hotspots of SAC <br> - Operation Conscript (tackling robberies) including overt patrols, targeting areas and suspects as well as ensuring a rapid response to reports. <br> - Trap car deployments. <br> - Liaison continues with neighbourhood teams, BTP and partners during PCT Neighbourhood meetings. <br> - Continuation of Dob - A - Robber targeting school child robberies. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { NI } 17 \\ 0 \\ 01 \\ 0 \\ \text { © } \end{gathered}$ | Safer Communiti es | Perceptions of anti-social behaviour PSA 23 | 35.3\% <br> Based on <br> Place <br> Survey <br> 2008/09 | 31.4\% |  | 48.8\% |  |  |  | Deleted | Not applicable (deleted) |  | - | The Place Survey has been discontinued. No further replicable results will be available. However we are seeking a proxy measure to address this priority. |
| 0 | Safer Communiti es | Assault with injury crime rate PSA 25 |  | Baseline minus 3\% See commentar y for GOSE Calculation |  | 11.08 crimes per 1,000 population. Number of crimes $=1343$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.24 \text { or } \\ & 287 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.81 \text { or } 605 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | 6.6 or 848 crimes | $\begin{aligned} & 8.12 \text { or } 1043 \\ & \text { crimes } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN |  |  | Summary of action to sustain performance - Improved data analysis, production of ICOA maps to aid deployment of resources; Operation Clubber continued to the end of March, following which TVP to review outcomes; TVP bid to be made to provide a Sgt and at least 8 PCs each weekend concentrating on hotspots. - Operation Staysafe will run 4 times to the end of the year. Licensing Team to enforce no sales of single cans; Salt Hill Park - 3 cameras to be installed to provide CCTV coverage over Salt Hill and Baylis Parks - known hotspots for crime and asb. Use of knife arches near licensed premises is an on-going operation. |
| NI 32 <br> local <br> (a) <br> NI 32 <br> local <br> (b) | Safer Communiti es | Domestic Violence (a) increase the number of referrals/visits to the advocacy project run by East Berkshire Women's Aid (b) A percentage reduction in the risk of DA for victims in contact with local services | a) 116 referrals <br> b) $-48.39 \%$ reduction | a) 150 <br> b)-52\% <br> tbc | - |  |  | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { not collected in } \\ & \text { 2010/11 } \end{aligned}$ | n/a | - | - | This information is no longer collected nationally. |
| NI 35 | Community cohesion | Building resilience to violent extremism PSA 26 | Average 2.3 2007/08 Part 1-3 <br> Part 2-2 <br> Part 3-2 | Average 4.2 <br> Part 1-3.25 <br> Part 2 - 3.5 <br> Part 3 - 5 | To be determined | Average 2.5 <br> Part 1-3 <br> Part 2-2 <br> Part 3-2 |  |  |  | Discontinued | Not applicable (deleted) | - | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Annual reporting available only. An action plan and performance framework has been developed which aligns the four levels set by this performance measure with the 7 national Home Office "prevent objectives" followed by the Police. Dialogue has taken place both at SAVE steering group meetings and Management group meetings recently to enable assessment based on the progress made in the action plan. This measure has been abandoned and the national policy driver has changed. |
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|  |  |  | Part 4-2 | Part 4-5 |  | Part 4-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 38 | Health and Well Being | Drug-related (Class A) offending rate PSA 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Emerging } \\ & \text { baseline } \\ & 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | Average 9\% below <br> baseline <br> across <br> 10/11 |  | 88.0\% | $1.16(67$ offences from a predicted 57.16) | 1.35 (145 offences from a predicated 107.3) | 1.17 (177 offences from a predicted 151) | End of year result available September 2011 |  |  |  | Target to be delivered via Integrated Offender Management group. Action to improve performance includes making user custody is covered during key times, robust monitoring of repeat offenders that are released and increase partnership information sharing. |
| NI 45 | Safer Communiti es | Young offenders engagement in suitable education, employment or training | (71.9\% 06/07 Perf Data used for LAA) | 79.3\% |  | Cumulative year <br> to date for <br> 09/10: <br> 74.85\% <br> (125 out of 167) | $\begin{aligned} & 87.1 \% \\ & (27 / 31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.6 \% \\ & (19 / 23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.3 \text { \% } \\ & (20 / 24) \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative year to date for 10/11: 82\% | GREEN |  |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH. Overall provisional performance for 2010/11 of $82 \%$ is a $7.15 \%$ improvement from previous years figure of $74.85 \%$. Performance is above the current target of $79 \%$ of young people will be in Full Time ETE at the end of their YOT intervention in 2010/11. Quarterly performance varied considerably due to the small cohort being measured and the impact one case can therefore have on a percentage based target. |
| Ne69 | Safer | *NI49: Number of primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DO } \\ & N \\ & N \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Communiti } \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | fires and related fatalities and non-fatal casualties (excluding precautionary checks). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} 149 \\ & (1) \end{aligned}$ |  | (1.) Total number of primary fires per 100,000 population; | 254.7 fires per year (3 year total:764.2 2). This includes 85.19 dwelling fires(3 year total:255.5 9) per 100,000 | 238.5 fires ( <br> 3 year total <br> 715.7)inclu ding 78.38 dwelling fires (3 year total: <br> 235.15) per <br> 100,000 |  | 280 fires. 2.33 <br> per 100,000 population | $\begin{aligned} & 45.17 \text { or } \\ & 58 \\ & \text { incidents } \end{aligned}$ | 117 or 91.12 incidents | 178 or 138.62 incidents | Awaiting results | Green |  |  | DELETED FROM NATIONAL INDICATOR SET AS FROM APRIL 2010. Category breakdown is: • 69 dwelling fires; • 61 vehicle fires; $\boldsymbol{\bullet} 41$ buildings other than dwellings; 7 other locations Future actions for all of NI 33 and 49 - ESOL- training program to be put in place. Fire safety training, chip pan/fat fire demonstration and tour of Fire Station. - Better targeting of vulnerable people through areas identified by Slough partner's knowledge and risk mapping. - Continue to liaise with YMCA to promote fire safety messages for the Romanian community. • Hotstrikes/home fire safety promotion will be planned for the areas where fire casualties have occurred |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} 149 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ |  | (2.) Total number of fatalities due to primary fires per 100,000 population | 1 | 1 |  | 0 fatal casualties | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | - | Ranked 5th out of 6th lowest in Berkshire 08/09 | December - 1 cause yet to be determined, fire investigation being completed (occupant had long term health issues).RBFRS subsequently confirmed that incident to be classed as non fire related fatality. Indicator on target. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} 49 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ |  | (3.) Total number of nonfatal casualties (excluding precautionary checks) per 100,000 population. | 25.1 <br> causalities <br> per year <br> (3 year total:75.31 ) per 100,000 | 22.94 <br> causalities <br> per year (3 <br> year <br> total:67.78) <br> per <br> 100,000 | 0 | 6.66 casualties per 100,000 population. 8 actual casualties | 3.11 or 4 casualties | 3.11 or 4 casualties | 7.00 or 9 casualties |  |  |  |  | All casualties relate to accidental dwelling fires: April - 3 cause: 1 x child fire play, $2 \times$ careless disposal of smoking materials ( 1 believed to be under the influence of alcohol) June - 1 cause: fell asleep while cooking July - 1 cause: distraction while cooking December - 4 cause: 3 electrical fires and 1 careless use of candles. |
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| NI 50 | Health and Well Being | Emotional health of children PSA 12 The four survey questions used to form the indicator are: $\cdot$ I have one or more good friends. $\cdot$ When l'm worried about something I can talk to my mum or dad. When I'm worried about something I can talk to my friends. - When l'm worried about something I can talk to an adult other than my mum or dad. | 60.9\% | 69.0\% |  | 55.2\% |  |  |  | Survey no longer undertaken | Not applicable (deleted) | 3rd Quartile | 6th out of 11 SN Group |  |
| 昭! | Health and Well Being | Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 DCSF DSO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22.1\% } \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | 18.8\% |  | 21.4\% |  |  |  | Next collection in Autumn 2011 | n/a |  |  | Data is collected annually, analysed by PCT, with cooperation of local schools. It represents a health vital signs indicator. There is excellent coverage saturation in terms of establishing height and mass of pupils. Performance figure for 2009/10 released earlier this year was $21.4 \%$ thus representing an increase in the obesity rates of $2 \%$ when compared to $19.4 \%$ in 2008/09. This is also higher than our comparators averages (South East average of $16.6 \%$ and National average of $18.7 \%$ ) and also $2 \%$ higher the 2009/10 target of $19.4 \%$. <br> Corrective action for NI 56: <br> PCT are in the process of collating the obesity data for 2010/11 however this will not be mapped to local authority areas until early next year. This is due to the cross border issues which are handled at a national level at the national information centre. Contracts are in place with key performance indicators to reduce the year on year increase in obesity rates to: <br> - Reduce childhood obesity. <br> - Target deprived wards. <br> - Increase the level of physical activity for Children and Young People. <br> Programmes being delivered to achieve these key outcomes are: <br> - Family Weight Management Programme that targets children 7-12 years of age. <br> - Child Measurement Programme to children in Year $1 \& 6$. <br> - Delivering campaigns which educates schoolchildren, families and schools about healthy eating and physical activity. <br> - Providing breast feeding training and support to new mothers to increase the - breast feeding rates. <br> - Mission Healthy Eating delivered in 3 schools by dieticians <br> - Cookery School in Sure Start Children's Centres to educate parents on how to buy and cook healthy food. <br> - Cook Club (2wks per year if funding bid successful) <br> - Healthy Schools - Encouraging schools to work together in clusters to provide a health promoting environment. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | Targets 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd <br> Quarter results July-Sept 10/11 | 3rd Quarter results Oct-Dec 10/11 | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest National <br> Quartile <br> Position <br> Where not <br> PWC <br> rankings <br> used | Latest <br> Comparato <br> r Group <br> Position <br> (Lowest IPF <br> figure <br> relates to <br> best <br> performanc <br> e) | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| N173 | Economy \& skills | Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 (Threshold) PSA 10 |  | 77.0\% | 79.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \text { (Summer } \\ & 09 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \text { (Summer } \\ & \text { 2010) } \end{aligned}$ | RED | $\begin{aligned} & \hline(09 / 10) \\ & \text { Bottom } \\ & \text { Quartile } \end{aligned}$ | 7th out of 11 in our SN Group for period 2009/10 | GOOD TO BE HIGH: This indicator is measured once a year. Slough's 2010, performance of $71 \%$ has improved by $4 \%$ from the previous years result of $67 \%$, These results were likely to have been higher however it excludes two of our high performing primary schools which boy cotted the SAT's this year. 2010 performance is $3 \%$ below the England of $74 \%$ and $2 \%$ below the South East averages of $73 \%$, Performance is also well below the $2009 / 10$ target of $75 \%$. Key Stage 2 results for 2010 show an improvement in performance for the first time in a number of years. However, this is not leading to complacency but new vigour in intensifying the focused action in this area. Increased emphasis is being placed by the Local Authority on effective leadership and management, teaching and learning, assessment and data analysis to inform teaching and strengthening governance. Activities include the improved use of tracking and target setting to assess pupil progress. There is also the judicious use of national programmes, 1 to 1 tuition and other intervention programmes used appropriately in schools, including modelling and the use of advanced skills teachers. |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N}_{1} \stackrel{\Phi}{\mathrm{P}}_{3} \\ \mathrm{O} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Economy \& skills | Achievement of 5 or more $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths (Threshold) PSA 10 |  | 63.2\% | 64.5\% | 59.3\% |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63.1\% (Summer } \\ & \text { 2010) } \end{aligned}$ | GREEN | Top Quartile for period 09/10 | Ranked 2nd out of 11 in SN group for period 2009/10 | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Slough LA's 2009/10 performance is $63.1 \%$ is a $3.8 \%$ improvement from the previous year's figure of $59.3 \%$ and is $9.7 \%$ above 2010 national average of $53.4 \%$. It's also $0.4 \%$ above the 2009/10 target of $62 \%$. Slough LA is ranked 14th national placing Slough in the top quartile and 2nd out of 11 in our Statistical Neighbours Group. |
| N187 | Economy \& skills | Secondary school persistent absence rate DCSF DSO | - |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \% \\ & (2008 / 09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \% \\ & \text { Term } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \% \\ & \text { Term } 2 \end{aligned}$ | - | 2.5\% (2009/10) | GREEN | green |  | GOOD TO BE LOW: Slough's 2010 performance of $2.5 \%$ is a $1 \%$ improvement from previous year of $3.5 \%$. This places 2010 Slough in the top quartile nationally for this indicator and is ranked 3rd nationally out of 152 LA's. Performance met our 2009/10 target of $3.7 \%$. |
| N192 | Economy \& skills | Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20\% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest PSA 11 |  | 32.5\% | 30.0\% | 36.9\% (summ 09) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9 \% \text { (summer } \\ & 2010 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | RED | (09/10) Bottom Quartile | Ranked 8th out of 11 in SN group for period 2009/10 | GOOD TO BE LOW: This indicator is measured once a year. Slough LA's 2009/10 performance gap of $35.9 \%$ is a $1 \%$ improvement from the previous year's figure of $36.9 \%$. However the gap is $3.2 \%$ wider than the 2010 national gap of $32.7 \%$ and placed 8 th out of 11 in our Statistical Neighbours Group. Ranked 137 th out of 152 LA's which places Slough in the bottom quartile. The gap is $1.7 \%$ wider than the $09 / 10$ gap target of $34.2 \%$. The Sure Start Service has increased its emphasis on supporting and driving achievement, particularly for those most vulnerable, in line with the new national focus. Early intervention with provision for two year olds is seen as significant contribution to improvements in EYFS results in due course. More funding is being invested in contributing to the EYFS stage agenda and integrating this work with the broader school improvement work which is more focused on the statutory responsibilities around monitoring, challenge and intervention. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NIS } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | Targets 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd <br> Quarter <br> results <br> July-Sept <br> 10/11 | 3rd Quarter results Oct-Dec 10/11 | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest National <br> Quartile <br> Position <br> Where not <br> PWC <br> rankings <br> used | Latest <br> Comparato <br> r Group <br> Position <br> (Lowest IPF <br> figure <br> relates to <br> best <br> performanc <br> e) | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| $\overline{\mathrm{N} 193}$ | Economy \& skills | Progression by 2 levels in English between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 PSA 11 |  | 86.0\% | 87.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \text { (summer } \\ & \text { 2009) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84\% (Summer } \\ & \text { 2010) } \end{aligned}$ | led | (09/10) 3rd Quartile | Ranked 9th out of 11 in SN group for period 2009/10 | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Slough's 2010 performance of $84 \%$ is a $5 \%$ improvement from previous year of $79 \%$. Performance is inline with the national and South East averages of $84 \%$. Ranked 9 th out of 11 in our Statistical Neighbours Group. Current performance is $1 \%$ below our 2009/10 target of $85 \%$. Increased emphasis is being placed by the Local Authority on effective leadership and management, teaching and learning, assessment and data analysis to inform teaching and strengthening governance. Activities include the improved use of tracking and target setting to assess pupil progress. There is also the judicious use of national programmes, 1 to 1 tuition and other intervention programmes used appropriately in schools, including modelling and the use of advanced skills teachers. |
|  | Economy \& skills | Progression by 2 levels in Maths between Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 PSA 11 |  | 81.5\% | 83.0\% | 74.0\% | - |  |  | 81\% (Summer 2010) | GREEN | $\begin{aligned} & (09 / 10) \\ & \text { 3rd Quartile } \end{aligned}$ | Ranked 9th out of 11 in SN group for period 2009/1 | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Slough's 2010 performance of $81 \%$ is a $7 \%$ improvement from previous year of $74 \%$. However performance is below th national average of $83 \%$ and inline with the South East average of $81 \%$. Current performance is $1 \%$ above our 2009/10 target of $80 \%$. |
| Nißg | Economy \& skills | Children in care reaching level 4 in English at Key Stage 2 PSA 11 |  | No Target been set for this indicator | No Target been set for this indicator | 0\% (Sep 09) | Annual | Annual | Annual | To be released | n/a |  |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Key Stage indicators for looked after children have exceedingly small cohorts (e.g. ONE child for KS2, 2009/10) and are restricted to cover LAC who remain in care at the end of September following exam year. Our aim is to provide sufficient additional support on top of the teaching provided through the children's schools in order that each child makes personalised stretching attainment improvements. Targets are set in light of the current predictions of who will be looked after in each year, though additional children will join the cohort in a nonpredictive fashion. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \& skills | Children in care reaching level 4 in Maths at Key Stage 2 PSA 11 | - | No Target been set for this indicator | No Target been set for this indicator | 0\% (Sep 09) | Annual | Annual | Annual | To be released | n/a | - |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Key Stage indicators for looked after children have exceedingly small cohorts (e.g. ONE child for $\mathrm{KS} 2,2009 / 10$ ) and are restricted to cover LAC who remain in care at the end of September following exam year. Our aim is to provide sufficient additional support on top of the teaching provided through the children's schools in order that each child makes personalised stretching attainment improvements. Targets are set in light of the current predictions of who will be looked after in each year, though additional children will join the cohort in a nonpredictive fashion. | exceedingly small cohorts (e.g. SEVEN children for KS4, 2009/10) and are

restricted to cover LAC who remain in care at the end of September restricted to cover LAC who remain in care at the end of September
following exam year. Our aim is to provide sufficient additional support on following exam year. Our aim is to provide sufficient additional supports of the teaching provided through the children's schools in order that each child makes personalised stretching attainment improvements. Targets are set in light of the current predictions of who will be in care in are
each year, though by the time the reporting period comes around there are each year, though by the time the reporting period comes around there are
additional children in care and others who have left care - so the targets can only be set in a non-predictive fashion. Huge uncertainties are attached to target setting at KS 4, since a significant proportion of this cohort will be
recently arrived unaccompanied asylum seekers who are very unlikely to recently arrived unaccompanied asylum seekers who are very unlikely met.
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To be released $21 \%$ (summer 운 our Statistical Neighbours group (3 of our statistical neighbours results have not
been published). Slough achieved our LAA 2009/10 target of $21 \%$. pupils with no FSM achieving $68 \%$, ranking Slough 10th nationally compared with
KS4 pupils with FSM achieving 33\%, ranking Slough 41st nationally.
GOOD TO BE LOW: Slough's 2009/10 KS2 FSM gap figure of $21 \%$ has significantly mproved in comparison with previous year's gap figure of $27.8 \%$. This has resulted s Slough placed in the top quartile for most improved LA. These results were likely
o improve further as they do not include two of our high performing primary schools with low percentage FSM that boycotted the tests. Slough's FSM gap is inline with
his year's England gap and well below the South East gap figure of $26 \%$. Ranked 50th nationally placing Slough in the second quartile and placed joint 6th out of 8 in Slough's 2009/10 KS4 FSM gap figure of 35\% is $1.5 \%$ wider than last year's gap
figure of $33.5 \%$. The FSM gap is also $7 \%$ wider than England's gap figure of $28 \%$ and $1 \%$ wider than South East's gap of $34 \%$. Ranked 127 th nationally placing group. Slough is also $2 \%$ above our 2009/10 gap target of $33 \%$. However
improvements have been achieved by pupils with and without FSM at KS4. The results of KS4 pupils with no FSM improved by 4\% whereas pupils with FSM mproved by $2 \%$ which resulted in the FSM gap widening. As our four gramm Any corrective action needs to be tempered by the fact that our pupils on Free
School Meals (FSM) are making significant progress over the course of their education and by the end of Key Stage 4 have moved to a national ranking of 41 st complacency. The Local Authority is currently upping its game in intensifying early
support, monitoring, challenge and intervention with school improvement and raising standards, particularly focusing on vulnerable groups, such as the disadvantaged pupils on free school meals. Recently, we have had Ofsted
inspections of Slough schools where all of the outcomes have been good or outstanding. The School Improvement Team is about to commission arrangements
to bring in a senior officer to work intensively with schools on targeting vulnerable go bring in a senior officer to work intensively with schools on targeting vuline
groups. Also data analysis is being focused on to drill down to groups and
individuals who require intensive support to raise their attainments. This data individuals who require intensive support to raise their attainments. This data
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | Targets 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd Quarter results July-Sept 10/11 | 3rd Quarter results Oct-Dec 10/11 | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest <br> Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest <br> National <br> Quartile <br> Position <br> Where not <br> available <br> PWC <br> rankings <br> used | Latest Comparato r Group Position (Lowest IPF figure relates to best performanc e) | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| NI <br> 102 <br> (b) $\text { Page } 29$ |  |  | 37.0\% | 31.0\% | tbc | $\begin{aligned} & 33.5 \% \text { (Summer } \\ & 09 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \text { (summer } \\ & \text { 2010) } \end{aligned}$ | ED | (09/10) Bottom Quartile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11 th out of } \\ & 11 \text { SN } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | group. Slough is also $2 \%$ above our 2009/10 gap target of $33 \%$. However improvements have been achieved by pupils with and without FSM at KS4. The results of KS4 pupils with no FSM improved by 4\% whereas pupils with FSM improved by $2 \%$ which resulted in the FSM gap widening. As our four grammar schools have low percentage FSM this contributes to the high achievement of KS4 pupils with no FSM achieving $68 \%$, ranking Slough 10 th nationally compared with KS4 pupils with FSM achieving $33 \%$, ranking Slough 41 st nationally. Corrective action for NI 102b: <br> Any corrective action needs to be tempered by the fact that our pupils on Free School Meals (FSM) are making significant progress over the course of their education and by the end of Key Stage 4 have moved to a national ranking of 41 st nationally out of 152 authorities. At the same time this is not grounds for complacency. The Local Authority is currently upping its game in intensifying early support, monitoring, challenge and intervention with school improvement and raising standards, particularly focusing on vulnerable groups, such as the disadvantaged pupils on free school meals. Recently, we have had Ofsted inspections of Slough schools where all of the outcomes have been good or outstanding. The School Improvement Team is about to commission arrangements to bring in a senior officer to work intensively with schools on targeting vulnerable groups. Also data analysis is being focused on to drill down to groups and individuals who require intensive support to raise their attainments. This data analysis and associated assessment is being used to inform teaching and learning. While improvements for the individuals will be kicking in within the short term it will take time for this to feed through to improvements in this annual indicator. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \& skills | Young people's participation in positive activities PSA 14 Place Holder | $\begin{aligned} & 73.7 \% \\ & 2008 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 83.7\% | tba | 77.7\% |  |  |  | Survey no longer undertaken | Not <br> applicable (deleted) | Top Quartile | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { st of } 11 \text { in } \\ & \text { its SN group } \\ & 09 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | GOOD TO BE HIGH: This is a Tellus Survey based indicator which shows Slough 2009/10 performance improved by $6.7 \%$ from $71.0 \%$ (Tellus3 new weighting) to $77.7 \%$ (Tellus4). This places Slough is the top quartile, ranked 7th nationally (out of 152 LAs) and 1st (out of 11 ) in our SN group. However performance is $1 \%$ below our 2009/10 challenging target of $78.7 \%$. Budget issues may be a challenge to service delivery. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 111 \end{aligned}$ | Safer Slough | First time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2040 Rate } \\ & \text { per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { population } \\ & \text { aged 10- } \\ & 17 \text { PNC } \\ & \text { Data } 2005 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1920 Rate <br> per <br> 100,000 |  | Overall 2009/10 (provisional) 165 entrants (approx 1360.9 per 100,000 ) | 13 <br> Entrants | 21 Entrants | 17 Entrants | Overall 2010/11 <br> (provisional) <br> 60 entrants | Green |  | - | GOOD TO BE LOW: Provisional overall performance for 2009/10 is 65 first time entrants which is on target to meet current target of 165 or less entrants. This is based the Youth Offending Teams internal data which is likely to differ to national figures published later in the year. Recent work undertaken by the Partnership namely the introduction of YRD (Youth Restorative Disposals), in conjunction with effective use of the bail clinic system has seen a significant reduction in the number of young people receiving a reprimand or final warnings. The recent recruitment of a Triage worker in the YOT in line with the Youth Crime Action Plan will see the development of a system where young people who are subject of a YRD ar provided with a short term intervention aimed at filtering them into diversionary activates and target Youth Support pending on needs identified through the assessment process. Partnership targeted youth activities, use of the YISP and parenting interventions have all supported success in this area. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{NI} \\ & 117 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \& skills | 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, training or employment (NEET) PSA 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \% \\ & \text { Feb } 08 \end{aligned}$ | 4.3\% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Still to be } \\ & \text { negotiated } \end{aligned}$ | Nov 6.6\% <br> Dec 6.1\% <br> Jan 5.2\% <br> Overall rate for <br> 2009/10 6.0\% | 5.8\% | 8.0\% | 5.6\% | Nov 5.5\% Dec 4.5\% Jan 4.7\% Overall Provisional rate for 2010/11 4.9\% | n/a |  |  | GOOD TO BE LOW: The overall PROVISIONAL NEET rate for Slough for 2010/11 is $4.9 \%$ (an average of Nov 5.5 ; Dec 4.5 and Jan 4.7 ) which is $1.1 \%$ improvement from the previous year's rate of $6.0 \%$. The comparator data is not yet released however it's well below the 2009/10 England average of $6.4 \%$ and the second lowest rate within Berkshire. Performance is $0.6 \%$ above our current ambitious target of $4.3 \%$. <br> Due to the recession it has been a challenge to maintain a low rate however this is been achieved through the support programmes that we have embedded. Slough's NEET rate will <br> be compared against our comparators once the published information is released. |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{Q} \text { º } \\ 12 \mathrm{~A} \\ \omega \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Health and Well Being | Mortality rate from all circulatory diseases at ages under 75 | Latest data <br> is 92.68 fo <br> latest 3yr <br> data <br> 97.13(200 <br> 5-2007) | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7 \text { per } \\ & \mathrm{r} \\ & 100,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | Latest data is 92.68 for CY 2008. latest 3 yr data 97.13(20052007) |  |  |  |  | RED | Above the national average for 2008 is 80.73 | Above the Regional average of 70.88 | LOW IS GOOD: The latest published data by the ONS via the CLG Hub indicates that the mortality rate per 100,000 for 2005-2007 is 97.13 , with the mortality rate for 2008 specifically being 92.68 which represents an improvement on the previous year of 117.21 and an improvement against the 3 year average. There is still a significant gap between target and performance although it is improving. A three year average trend figure tends to be used due to annual fluctuation. This represents a long-term health outcome indicator. Actions include smoking cessation and vascular risk reduction, also initiatives with Pharmacies. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ | Health and Well Being | $16+$ current smoking rate prevalence PSA 18number of smokers quitting | 704 per <br> 100,000 <br> 664 actual <br> numbers <br> of smokers <br> quitting | 708 per 107,000 773 number of smokers quitting |  | $\begin{aligned} & 723 \text { per } \\ & 100,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | - | GREEN |  | Ranked 3rd out of 16 IPF comparator group for latest period (01/10/2008 31/12/2008) | Data no longer published via the hub, awaiting data from Health. The target represents rate per 100,000 population and where possible these have been translated to an absolute number of quitters. Good performance is typified by maintenance of the number of four week smoking quitters who have attended NHS Stop smoking services per 100,000 population. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{NI} \\ & 124 \\ & \text { local } \end{aligned}$ | Health and Well Being | People with a long-term condition supported to be independent and in control of their condition- People with a long-term condition supported to be independent and in control of their condition -"\% of people with a LT condition who "had enough support from local services and organisations to help manage their long term condition(s)" | 07/08 <br> outturn <br> was <br> $76.19 \%$ <br> which is <br> the latest <br> data <br> published. <br> The 08/09 <br> data not <br> yet <br> published |  |  | 70\%(09/10) |  |  |  |  | n/a |  |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Performance for 09/10 is $70 \%$ which represents a drop from $76 \%$ in 2008/09. Slough has developed fully integrated long term conditions teams across health and social care. |
|  | Health and Well Being | Social Care clients receiving Self Directed Support (Direct Payments and Individual Budgets) DH DSO during the year plus carers on direct payments | $5.34 \%$ <br> $07 / 08$ <br> (according <br> to new <br> definition <br> as sued <br> from <br> 09/10) | 1265(tbc)us ers or $30 \%$ of clients in receipt of a community based services |  | 543 users or $12.9 \%$ of clients receiving community based services (3215) and plus 999 carers receiving a service totalling 4214 services | 283 | 508 | 768 | $33.9 \%(1191$ clients and carers) | GREEN | Third quartile 09/10 |  | Status: Green: Exceeded LAA target of 30\%. One third that is 33.9\%(1191) of Adult Social care clients in receipt of a community based service and carers, are receiving services via a direct payment or personal budget. This exceeds the national target of $30 \%$ for 2010/11 and represents an increase of $119 \%$ from 543 clients and carers during 2009/10 to 1191 clients and carers during 2010/11. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NI } \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | Health and Well Being | Carers receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service, or advice and information | 21\% (629 carers) (Hub figur is 20.32 | LAA target 28\% (approx 900 carers services), internal target $32 \%$ (approx 1030) |  | 32\% : 1029 carers in receipt of services and information or advice divided by 3215 community based users | 89 | 355 | 666 | 31.5\% | GREEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Top quartile } \\ & 09 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | Status: Green: Exceeded LAA target of $28 \%$. At a performance of 867 carers in receipt of a carers' service, we have achieved a proportion of 31.5\%. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{NI} \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | Community cohesion | Fair treatment by local services PSA 15 | 61．4\％ | 65．0\％ |  | biennial |  |  |  | Deleted | Not applicable （deleted） | Lowest Quartile for period 2008 2010 |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH：（Place Survey－this is a bienniel survey so performance for 09／10 will remain the same as 08／09 outturn）Slough＇s outturn is $61.4 \%$ which places Slough in the lowest performing quartile nationally．The SE Region average value is $75.8 \%$ whilst the England average value is $72.4 \%$ ． There are a number of actions taking place to improve performance： Recruitment of a partnership Community Cohesion Officer to support the following partnership activity is underway：Each PDG member to identify existing key challenges and priorities，which influence access to services； To analyse Place Survey 2008 results by Race，Religion，Age，Disability， Gender and Ward ；To ensure Equality Impact Assessments are completed and published；SBC has achieved Level 3 of the Equality Standard for Local Government and will identify equalities personnel from partnership organisations and share best practice and areas of strength；SBC undertaking a review of employee equalities training to ensure staff understand key issues of access to services；Diversity Conference held on 9 July，theme around＂myth busting＂ |
| $\begin{gathered} N \in ⿴ 囗 ⿰ 丿 ㇄ \\ 14 \underset{\sim}{N} \\ N \end{gathered}$ | Safer <br> Slough | Offenders under probation supervision in employment at the end of their order or licence PSA 16 | 39．0\％ | $45 \% \text { of the }$ <br> total |  | 45．0\％ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.5 \% \\ & (23 / 43) \end{aligned}$ | n／a | $\begin{aligned} & 54.9 \% \\ & (28 / 51) \end{aligned}$ | No longer collected nationally | Not applicable （deleted） |  |  | This information is no longer collected nationally． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \＆ skills | Working age people on out of work benefits PSA 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5 \% \\ & 06 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | 10．5\％ <br> Economic indicator Targets to be reassessed |  |  |  |  |  | － | Amber |  |  | LOW IS GOOD：The data source is DWP－released in calendar quarters with an 8 month time lag．Data is presented as a rolling average of 4 quarters to take account of seasonal variations．Quarterly data is now available but with a time lag．First quarter data for Jan－Mar 2009 was published end of October 2009 and shows a slight drop in performance compared with 08／09 year end． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NI } \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \＆ skills | Number of affordable homes delivered（gross） PSA 20 | 0（Gose have confirmed baseline as 0 ） | 128 dwellings accumulativ e target 484 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 220 \\ & \text { (accumulative) } \end{aligned}$ | 41 | 6 | 12 | 114 accumulative （55 in Qtr 4） | GREEN | － | － | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NI} \\ & 187 \end{aligned}$ | Economy \＆ skills | Tackling fuel poverty－ people receiving income based benefits living in homes with a low energy efficiency rating Defra | － | － | － | $\begin{aligned} & <35=7 \% \\ & >65=41 \% \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － | － | GREEN | － | － | Annual report |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIS } \\ & \text { Ref } \end{aligned}$ | Priority | Description of performance indicator | Baseline year and value | Targets 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 09/10 outturn (RAG status against 09/10 target where applicable) | 1st quarter Results AprilJune 10/11 | 2nd <br> Quarter <br> results <br> July-Sept <br> 10/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3rd Quarter } \\ & \text { results } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \\ & 10 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | End of year outturn 10/11 | Latest Performan ce Status against Target: RAG | Latest <br> National <br> Position <br> Where not <br> available <br> PWC <br> rankings <br> used | Latest Comparato r Group Position (Lowest IPF figure relates to best performanc e) | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{NI}} \\ & 186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Environme } \\ & \text { nt } \end{aligned}$ | Per capita CO2 emissions in the LA area PSA 27 | latest data $1.59 \%$ increase (2006 over 2005 ) 2007 data available in Sept 2009, 2008 data tbc. Per capita CO2 emissions based on 2008/9 |  |  | 2008/9 result - 5.5 tonnes per head of population - based on popn of 125,800 . | Annual result issued by DECC | Annual result issued by DECC | Annual result issued by DECC | Results published 2 years in arrears. | N/A |  |  | Figures from DECC show that over a three year period from 2005 to 2008, per capita emissions reduced from 5.9 tonnes per head to 5.5 tonnes per head. Population discrepancies will reduce the per head figure even more |
|  | Environme nt | Household waste recycled and composted Defra DSO | 26.19\% projected | 29.0\% |  | 30.9\% 4th qtr data 29.8\% | 32.3\% | 32.6\% | 31.5\% |  | GREEN |  |  | 4th qtr data figures are provisional and await Gov't confirmation. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ni} \\ & 198 \end{aligned}$ | Environme <br> nt | Children travelling to school - mode of travel usually used. Reduction in children travelling to school by car | 39\% Second Local Transport Plan 2006/07 | 35.0\% |  | Modes of Travel <br> \% <br> Age 5-10 <br> Car: 39 <br> Car Share: 3.2 <br> Pub Trans: 1.6 <br> Walking: 55.2 <br> Cycling: 0.6 <br> Other: 0.4 <br> Age 11-16 <br> Car: 29 <br> Car Share: 6.9 <br> Pub Trans: 16 <br> Walking: 43 <br> Cycling: 3 <br> Other: 2.1 <br> Overall 5-16 <br> Car: 35.1 |  |  |  | Modes of Travel \% <br> Age 5-10 <br> Car: 37.96 <br> Car Share: 3.13 <br> Pub Trans: 1.37 <br> Walking: 56.16 <br> Cycling: 0.48 <br> Other: 0.9 <br> Age 11-16 <br> Car: 29.79 <br> Car Share: 6.91 <br> Pub Trans: 9.87 <br> Walking: 42.53 <br> Cycling: 3.34 <br> Other: 7.56 <br> Overall 5-16 <br> Car: 34.4 | GREEN |  |  | SBC have been working hard in promoting school travel plans and have managed or are engaged in developing travel plans for all schools in the borough. A number of promotions (such as STARS) during the course of the school year has resulted in the number of children choosing to walk/cycle or take the bus increase. Overall the percentage of 5 to 16 year olds travelling to school by car improved by $0.7 \%$ from $35.1 \%$ in 2009/10 to $34.4 \%$ in 2010/11 which exceeded our interall target of $35 \%$ for 2010/11. At primary school the percentage of children walking to school improved by $0.96 \%$ from $55.20 \%$ in $2009 / 10$ to $56.16 \%$ in 2010/11. At secondary schools there were improvements in the percentage of children that cycled to school by $0.34 \%$ from $3 \%$ in 2009/10 to $3.34 \%$ in 2010/11. |



| ORGANISATIONAL INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  | March 2011 Appendix C |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Links to Key Priorities/ LAA themes | PI No. | Description of indicator | 2009/10 outturn (RAG status against 08/09 target where applicable) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2010/11 } \\ \text { Target } \end{array}$ | Qtr 1 Outturn Apr-Jun 10 | Qtr 2 Outturn Jul-Sep 10 | Qtr 3 <br> Outturn Oct- <br> Dec 10 | Qtr 4/ EOY Outturn JanMar 11 | Current <br> Status against <br> Target <br> RAG | Latest Comparator Group Position: Lowest figure relates to best performanc e | Comments on current position and corrective action if red Status |
|  | L35 | Proxy Indicator for NI <br> 8: The no. of adult attendances at all local Leisure Centres combined with participation in all sports development activities. | 941,345 | 2\% increase $=945,0759$ | $\begin{aligned} & 930,425 \\ & \text { visits } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 949,106 \\ \text { visits } \end{array}$ | $960,262$ <br> visits | 995,587 | GREEN |  | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Status:Green: Performance for rolling year to March 2011 is 995,587 and has exceeded target of 945,759 . Performance has increased significantly and represents an improving trend as a result of the opening of the gym facility on the Slough Trading Estate and the Tenpin bowling facility |
| Environment: A place to live, Jwork and play | NI 11 | Engagements in the arts. The percentage of the population(16+) that has engaged at least 3 times in the past 12 months[DELETED FOR 2010/11] | - | 39.4\% | - | - | - | Deleted | Not applicable (deleted) | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH: This is based an annual Active People Survey. This question is no longer included in the survey and the indicator has been deleted. In 08/09 Slough's performance sat below the national average of $45.2 \%$. National performance ranged from the lowest of $28.9 \%$ to the highest performance of 67.1\% . |
| Health and Well Being Adding years to life and life to years: | NI 141 | Number of vulnerable people achieving independent livingSupporting People clients moved on from supported accommodation to independent living in a planned way as a proportion of total clients moved on. | 68\%(179/272 | 70\% | 75.27\% | 68.50\% | 71.07\% | 71.86\% | GREEN | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH:Status: Green. This indicator measures the success rate for planned moves from short-term supported housing. Overall end of year performance for this indicator is $71.86 \%$ which exceeds the target of $70 \%$ which is in line with CLG expectations. |
| Health and Well Being Adding years to life and life to years: | NI 142 | Of those receiving Supporting People services the "number supported to maintain independent living" | 99.20\% | 97\% | 99.62\% | 99.54\% | 99.30\% | 99.39\%. | GREEN | - | GOOD TO BE HIGH: Status: Green: This indicator measures people who are supported successfully in long-term accommodation and people from all client groups receiving long or short term floating support. End of year performance is 99.39\% exceeding the target of $97 \%$. |
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## APPENDIX D

## SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO: CMT DATE: $22^{\text {nd }}$ June 2011<br>CONTACT OFFICER:<br>(For all enquiries)<br>Russell Bourner (Performance Manager) 01753875217<br>WARD(S): All<br>PORTFOLIO: All

## PERFORMANCE AND PROJECT REPORTING FOR 2011/12

## Review and development of the Council Wide Balanced Scorecard

## Purpose of report

The Corporate Balanced Scorecard was introduced in September 2008 and is proving unwieldy to manage and maintain, and unhelpful to managers. National changes to data requirements and availability and the need to address current local priorities mean that the current content and format requires review, and a new approach is suggested in this report.

## What is the Balanced Scorecard?

The Balanced Scorecard is a strategic performance management tool - a structured report that can be used by managers to keep track of the execution of activities by staff within their control and to monitor the consequences of these activities. An effective Scorecard should cover both financial and non-financial measures, to provide a rounded view of the council's performance. All measures should be compared to pre-determined target values, within a single concise report. A key component is the appropriate escalation of the information that is most relevant to those reading it.

An effective format should enable appropriate escalation of issues of concern upwards along the management hierarchy, whilst maintaining appropriately delegated responsibilities for performance improvement. Given the complexity of the nature of the council's business activities, our Balanced Scorecard must provide a simple enough distillation of pertinent coverage whilst still enabling a direct tracking of performance concerns back to their source origin. As a whole entity, the approach must still be comprehensive enough to cover all the key performance measures and indicators under which the council is assessed and held to account.

The current version of the SBC Balanced Scorecard is presented in two parts:
(a) Part One reviews the performance of the health of the organisation and
(b) Part Two reviews the LAA performance indicators and targets.

Each part contains an exceptions report that identifies indicators of particular underperforming concern, and summarises the mitigating action(s) being taken to
improve performance. Highlights of significant improvement are also provided. The report focuses heavily on classic performance indicators, with limited reference to staffing, financial and other health measures, runs to approximately 33 pages, and is used to report quarterly to both CMT and Members. The national Government has ended the 'National Indicators' framework and many of the performance measures we have used up until now will no longer be available - particularly where data collection or processing is conducted outside the council.

Consultation point: As reviewers of the Balanced Scorecard, CMT's views are sought on what aspects of the current system work well / poorly, which need to be retained, and which need to be improved.

Particularly, your views on adopting the suggested format are sought, as well as stipulated lists of measures which you wish to see included at CMT levels. If accepted as the way forward, Directorate level scorecard content will be investigated individually, and the new scorecard adopted with immediate effect.

## Recommendations / Proposed Actions

1. The Balanced Scorecard should entail a simple to read short summary report of no more than 2-3 pages. This should be sufficiently simple to enable a quick review of key measures, and rapid assessment of the key performance matters.
2. The summary cover report should be supported by clear yet informative exception reports (perhaps as appendices), enabling a more in-depth understanding of the reasons for concern and an appropriate challenge of the proposed set of corrective actions.
3. The summary cover report should cover a range of top-level indications of the financial, staffing, partnership, citizen perspective, and classic "performance outcomes" health of the organisation.
4. The format chosen for implementation should ideally be the same for Service Areas, Directorates and the whole council. This would enable shared understanding of the principles and themes and permit a true 'golden thread' to run from top to bottom of the organisation. It would also enable corrective actions to be appropriately and effectively assigned
5. The approach should support and promote the SBC Service Planning mechanism and processes, and include reference to progress on Service Plan actions and reporting. Service Planning should be reinvigorated.
6. The escalation of concerns from Service Area level to SMT, and from SMT to CMT, should be determined by deviation from pre-agreed thresholds. Thresholds for concern should be pre-determined for each measure included within the set. To enable sufficient time to address concerns, the cycle should enable Service Area addressing of concerns for a maximum of one period before escalation to SMT; SMT should then be able to address concerns for a maximum of one further period before escalating to CMT. These "periods" must be decided in advance, but should be no more than one quarter (i.e. 3 months). In many cases, immediate escalation may be preferable.
7. The precise content - in terms of the defined metrics or measures - will need to be clarified in consultation.
8. The timing of reporting must be clearly arrived at; where necessary, meetings where the Balanced Scorecard will be considered will be convened at an appropriate frequency to enable sensible progress updates to be available. Data availability should direct discussion time.

Figure 1: Suggested Linkages of Score Cards


Scorecards should assemble upwards to enable comprehensive coverage of responsibility areas at more senior management levels, whilst still permitting backwards tracking to determine the point(s) of origin of any concerns.

Pages 4-6 details a proposed CMT level Scorecard for 2011-12. The Scorecard comprises sections which detail:

- Key Finance Measures
- Key People Measures
- Key Volume Measures
- Key Quality Measures
- Key external inspection results
- and finally: Key Outcome Measures.

Performance scorecard：Council－wide June 2011

| Community <br> Cohesion | Health and <br> Wellbeing | Community Safety | Environment | Economy and Skills |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celebrating <br> diversity，enabling <br> inclusion | Adding years to life <br> and life to years | Being safe，feeling <br> safe | A cleaner，greener <br> place to live，work <br> and play | Prosperity for all |

This report provides an aggregated view of balanced performance across the whole of Slough Borough Council．
Similar reports will be available for each Directorate and then for the discrete areas headed by separate
Assistant Directors．In this manner，a holistic view will be supported by the ability to＇Drill down＇into areas of concern and target remedial activities in the most appropriate and efficient manner．

## Key Finance Measures（How much did we spend？） <br> Key points：

－Key Finance Measures would be reported in this table，prefaced with statements to highlight particular issues．

| Key Finance Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Annual budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 훈 | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | § | 亏 | 号 | ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | z | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{7}$ | ¢ | $\sum^{\text {No }}$ |  |
| Capital spend \％ against profile | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of debt | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of bad debt | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controls checklist \％ completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal audit recommendations implemented |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forecast over／ underspend | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key People Measures（Who did it？）

## Key points：

－Most HR statistics are prepared on a quarterly basis so are not yet available at this stage．We intend to move to a monthly report format wherever this would provide net benefit for the business．
－Recent restructuring is still impacting on the ability to accurately report against expected appraisal completion．Work is under way to record all changes to staffing to enable this measure to be determined．

| Key People Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | $⿳ 亠 丷 厂 彡$ | Э | 号 | ¢ | － | て | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ¢ | 윤 | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | Annual target |
| No．staff in establishment Headcount \＆（FTE） | 2011－12 | Pending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1671 \\ & (1347.9) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Staff turnover（\％） | 2011－12 | Pending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average staff sickness days per FTE | 2011－12 | Pending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％staff with an appraisal | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H\＆S incident rate per | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Key People Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Annual target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 京 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | $⿳ 亠 口 冖 丁$ | 亏 | 宕 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | Z | － | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { L }}$ | ${ }^{\frac{\Sigma}{0}}$ |  |
| 100 FTE | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％staff with a declared disability | 2011－12 | Pending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7．1\％ |  |
| \％staff who are BAME | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43．6\％ |  |
| \％staff（female） | 2011－12 | Pending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67．6\％ |  |
| \％of staff stating that SBC is a good employer | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key Volume Measures（How much did we do？）

## Key points：

－This section would consider key measures of throughput and activity－which impact on resources and capacity to deliver．For CMT level this would restrict to those volume measures that are worth
consideration by the business as a whole．Highlight statements would identify key concerns and would state the remedial actions already underway．
－Large increase in number of looked after children occurred in May．
－Numbers with child protection plans also continues to grow．

| Key Volume Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 交 | ${ }_{\sum}^{\text {® }}$ | $⿳ 亠 口 冖 丁$ | 亏 | 号 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | O | Z | － | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { ºn }}{ }$ |  |
| No．of Fol requests received | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No．of looked after children | 2011－12 | 166 | 181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
|  | （2010－11） | 179 | 176 | 180 | 176 | 170 | 168 | 169 | 172 | 168 | 170 | 165 | 168 | － |
| No．with child protection plans | 2011－12 | 144 | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） | 111 | 108 | 105 | 77 | 80 | 85 | 87 | 102 | 115 | 132 | 140 | 142 |  |
| No．of adults supported in residential care | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No．of council tenants | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonnes household waste collected | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No．calls／visits to MyCouncil | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No．of Housing Benefit claimants | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key Quality Measures（How well did we do it？）

## Key points：

－This section would cover selected＇quality＇measures which provide a picture of how well we are delivering．Suggested components would include achievement against various service standards e．g． time scales，waiting times etc．

| Key Quality Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 京 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ | $\xlongequal{\leftrightharpoons}$ | Э | 울 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ั | Z | － | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\sum^{\text {º }}$ |  |
| NI 105：special Educational Needs statements issued within 26 weeks | 2011－12 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\％ |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 59：\％of initial assessments completed in time | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） | 75.5 | 76.3 | 76.5 | 77.0 | 76.5 | 75.9 | 75.0 | 73.9 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 72.1 | 69.8 | ＞80 |
| Average waiting time at | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Key Quality Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 京 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { त }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | 亏 | 울 | © ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ}$ | － | O | － | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\sum^{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  |
| MyCouncil | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers of complaints received | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No．Appeals against planning decisions | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAC reviews held to timescales | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit claims processing time | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time from assessment to service provision （ASC） | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Key external Inspection results：

－This section would reflect the overall judgements made by external inspectors and assessors．

| Inspectorate | Section covered | Date | Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ofsted | Chalvey Children＇s Centre | May <br> 2011 | Overall effectiveness：Good． <br> Capacity for improvement：Good． |
| Ofsted | Children＇s safeguarding \＆LAC <br> services | April <br> 2011 | Safeguarding：Inadequate <br> LAC services：Adequate |
| HMI Probation | Youth Offending Team（＇YOT＇） | Feb <br> 2011 | Safeguarding：62\％Moderate improvement required． <br> Risk of harm： $54 \%$ Substantial improvement required． <br> Likelihood of reoffending： $61 \%$ Moderate improvement <br> required． |
| Ofsted | Lifelong Learning |  | Nov <br> 2010 |
| Audit <br> Commission <br> Capacily effectiveness：Good． |  |  |  |

## Key Outcome Measures（Is anyone better off as a result？） <br> Key points：

－The overall outcomes that we seek to achieve for those who live in，work in，learn in or visit Slough are stated in the Sustainable Community Strategy and summarised as the five Priority Outcomes that headline this report．Most of the truly significant outcome measures are available perhaps once a year， but some could be reported on more regularly．All agreed＇outcome＇measures will be reported here as the latest positions become available．The Government is currently reviewing the continuing availability of many indicator measures that previously formed the basis of our LAA，and several of these are no longer collected or processed．This section would need to be shaped and informed by the revision of the Sustainable Community Strategy，and would reflect the end results we desire for our total population（or for specified sub－groups thereof）．

| Key Outcome Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{亠}{<}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | § | Э | 号 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | \％ | － | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ¢ | $\sum^{\frac{1}{0}}$ |  |
| NI 15 most serious crime rate | 2011－12 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
|  | （2010－11） | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| NI 20 Assault with less serious injury | 2011－12 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） | 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Serious acquisitive crime rate | 2011－12 | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） | 2.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School achievement measures | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment rates | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortality rates | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Key Outcome Measures |  | Quarter 1 |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 交 | ${ }_{\text {N }}$ | $⿳ 亠 口 冖 丁$ | 亏 | 号 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | خ | － | ¢ | － | ${ }^{\text {ºn }}$ |  |
| Childhood obesity | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％of service users on self－ directed support | 2011－12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （2010－11） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO:
CONTACT OFFICER: Roger Parkin, Strategic Director of Customer \& Transactional Services (017553) 875207

## PART I

## FOR COMMENT AND CONSIDERATION

## CLIMATE CHANGE STRATEGY

## 1 Purpose of Report

1.1 This report highlights the progress to date in implementing and developing the Climate Change Action Plan.

## 2 Recommendation(s)/Proposed Action

2.1 The Committee is requested to note and comment on the following planned actions:
a) The development of a Climate Change Action Plan;

## 3 Community Strategy Priorities

3.1 Slough Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) sets out the ambitions and aspirations for Slough. It recognises that the Council, in partnership with Slough Forward, has a key role to play in leading and enabling a rapid improvement in the energy efficiency and carbon emissions from the borough's transport, homes and economy. It also commits the Partnership to lead the city's adaptation to the impacts of climate change.
3.2 This Strategy sets out the strategic framework in which the Council and Slough Forward will tackle the Council's corporate priority of climate change. It directly contributes to the delivery of the SCS priority 'A Cleaner, Greener place to live, Work and Play' by:

- Improving public spaces
- Creating a strong culture of recycling: by recycling $60 \%$ of waste by 2028
- Improving energy efficiency: by reducing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions by $20 \%$ by 2028
- Promoting sustainable public transport: all public transport and Council's vehicles to run on cleaner fuel by 2028
3.3 This report also takes into account the following additional policies and strategies of the Council:


## Strategic Plan

- Reduce the impact of climate change on the Borough


## Carbon Management Plan 2009-2014

- To reduce the amount of energy used and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions produced across the Councils own estate (including schools and leisure centres) by $40 \%$, or 12,616 tonnes of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, off a 2008/09 baseline of 31,540 tonnes of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, by April 2014.


## 4. Other Implications

(a) Financial

There is currently no specific budget for climate change activity. Activities under this Strategy will be built into the existing business planning of the Directorates concerned and where possible be delivered from existing resources. This report does not therefore ask for additional funding. However the Strategy will require acceptance of potential spend to save activity. Should funding be required, separate capital bids would be submitted. In addition, external funding will be sought wherever possible. Working in partnership through the Partnership has the potential to maximise future funding opportunities for the Council.

## (b) Risk Management

There is a risk implication in not addressing climate change issues. Impacts of climate change can affect many of the services that the Council provides. In order for the Council to continue to provide services in the borough we have to take steps to reduce our contribution to climate change.

There is also a risk that without a Strategy the Council will not be able to future proof its delivery of essential services and functions and protect residents from the threat of climate change. There is also the risk that with a Strategy the Council will fail to meet its Nottingham Declaration commitments or local and national carbon reduction targets. These risks are being mitigated by:

- Effective management of the climate change and carbon management work programmes and projects with adherence to the Council's risk management process;
- We will increase our ability to deliver the scale of change by developing partnerships - and the Strategy makes specific proposals for this,
- consulting widely on the Action Plan to ensure that it represents the best possible plan; and
- working with Slough Forward and its' Climate Change PDG to develop the Strategy further with a strong evidence base.


## (c) Human Rights Act and Other Legal Implications

There are no Human Rights Act Implications.
The Climate Change Act 2008 is referred to in this report. Among other things (e.g. certain amendments to waste management law) it establishes a framework with legal powers designed to enable the United Kingdom to manage and respond to climate change. As a body with functions of a public nature Slough Borough Council is a "Reporting Authority" within the meaning of the Climate Change Act. This means that the Council (either alone or jointly with other reporting authorities) can
expect to be directed by the Secretary of State to report how it is assessing and acting on risks and opportunities from a changing climate.

As a signatory of the Nottingham Declaration, the Council agreed to prepare a draft Strategy and Action Plan which will address our commitments to tackling climate change and adapting to its impacts.

## (d) Equalities Impact Assessment (EIA)

Major projects identified in the Strategy and its supporting Action Plan have been or will be subject to Equalities Impacts Assessments as part of their development, led by the appropriate project/programme manager.

## (e) Workforce

None.

## 5. Supporting Information

### 5.1 Background

5.1.1 Climate change is defined as long term change in climate. Research shows that this change is increasing at a rate faster that previously recorded and this is causing the earths temperature to increase, rising sea levels; and extreme weather conditions. Once of the chief cases of climate change is the amount of carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ produced by humans. The October 2006, the Stern Review Report looked into the economics of climate change and concluded that the cost of doing nothing would far outweigh the cost of taking action now. It estimated that inaction could account for a 20 per cent loss in global GDP, but that by taking action now the worst impacts could be avoided and limited to costs of 1 per cent.
5.1.2 The Nottingham Declaration acknowledged that climate change will have far reaching effects on the UK; recognises the benefits of combating climate change and accepts that local authorities have a central role to play in leading society's response to the challenge of climate change.
5.1.3 Signatories to the Declaration are committed to contributing to the UK's Climate Change Programme, to participate in local and regional networks for support; to develop plans within 2 years of signing that address the causes and impacts of climate change, to declare, via plans and strategies, their commitment to achieve a significant reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, to assess the risks associated with climate change and to monitor progress of plans against actions and publish the results.
5.1.4 Slough Borough Council signed the Nottingham Declaration in August 2009 and has produced a Climate Change Strategy that indicates the Council's and Slough Forward's commitment towards playing their part in reducing climate change and adapting to its impacts.

### 5.2 Strategic Links

5.2.1 The Government sees local authorities playing an important role in dealing with climate change, as it stated in the Local Government White paper 2006. Local authorities are uniquely placed to provide vision and leadership and through our powers and services to support action by businesses, individuals and communities.
5.2.2 In 2006 the UK became the first country to adopt a Climate Change Act. Under the Act, the UK is legally obliged to reduce carbon emissions by $80 \%$ by 2050 from a 1990 baseline and the first carbon budgets have committed the UK to reduce emissions by 34\% by 2022 .
5.2.3 The Committee on Climate Change estimates that the private and public sectors could save almost $£ 900$ million and 9 million tonnes of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ a year through cost effective measures. Coupled with the worsening UK economy and the need to cut costs, improving energy efficiency present a major opportunity for the Council to invest, thereby supporting both its economic and environmental agendas.

### 5.3 Climate Change Strategy Document

5.3.1 In developing our Climate Change Strategy, Slough Borough Council consulted with other Councils to indentify the activities they were undertaking and considered those that would be appropriate to Slough and its strategic partnership. Various local businesses and environmental organisations were also consulted, as well as all the internal services, with particular emphasis on flooding, waste, recycling, planning, transport and facilities management.
5.3.2 A Climate Change Strategy Summary document was published for consultation to the local residents in the autumn of 2010. Comments and feedback were received from consultees. The responses were varied with a number of positive elements, some negative comments and a large number of other ideas for consideration. A thorough analysis of the comments was carried out and a number of items were identified as feasible for immediate inclusion. Several have been identified as 'for information' and a large number are being subjected to a more in-depth review for possible inclusion in future developments of the Strategy and its forthcoming supporting Action Plan.
5.3.3 The Climate Change Strategy was approved by Cabinet on $14^{\text {th }}$ March 2011 and published $28^{\text {th }}$ March 2011. It sets out the strategic direction required to meet national and local targets for reducing carbon emissions internally, across the wider community, and how we can adapt to severe weather events and future climatic change.
5.3.4 As a signatory of the Nottingham Declaration, the Council committed to producing a Climate Change Strategy, developing a corresponding Action Plan and monitoring and reporting progress on the plan. Developing and implementing a Climate Change Strategy for Slough aims to reduce the long terms costs likely to accrue and provides a template and processes for key partners, businesses and partners to follow. The development of a supporting Action Plan will also provide the Council with the means to indentify monitor and report on the work required and undertaken across the partnership to deliver the improvements needed to tackle climate change.

### 5.4 Action Plan Development

5.4.1 The Action Plan will outline the ways in which Slough Forward and the Council will try to limit the effects of climate change by lowering carbon dioxide emissions across the Borough and across their own operations. It will outline how the Council and the Partnership will work with members and the community to lower their emissions and to start preparing for the impacts of climate change. This Action Plan will address both mitigation and adaptation.
5.4.2 The Climate Change Partnership Delivery Group will be looking at the following areas to identify were improvements to climate change can be made and influenced at a local level. These will include:

- Various feasibility studies
- Addressing transport and air quality issues
- Impact of Small Medium Enterprises on our local climate
- LoCUS Project (Low Carbon Understanding for Small Businesses)
- Liaising with Berkshire Climate Board
- Working with voluntary groups
- Working with our own Carbon Management Board and Assets Management Team


### 5.4.3 Objectives:

- Work with central government, partners and the community to contribute, at a local level, to the delivery of the UK climate change programme
- Work with key providers, including the health community, emergency services, businesses and development organisations, to assess the potential effects of climate change on our communities and to identify ways in which we can adapt
- Assess the Partnerships and the Council's carbon footprint, evaluate measures to reduce it and achieve significant reductions of emissions across the Partnership, the borough and from all of Slough Borough Council's operations
- Encourage all sectors in the local community to reduce their own carbon footprints and to make public their commitment to action


### 5.4.4 Performance monitoring

Progress on the delivery of this Plan will be reported through Slough Forward Partnership, LSP Climate Change PDG, Carbon Management Board and Overview \& Scrutiny. Thereafter it will form part of the Council's performance management framework. Where practical targets will be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Timely).
5.4.5 Updating the Action Plan

This Action Plan will be updated on an ongoing basis.
6. Comments of other Committees

None.

## 7. Conclusion

Slough Borough Council is committed, via the Nottingham Declaration, to produce Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan by August 2011. The Climate Change Strategy has been published and the Action Plan is currently under development with our strategic partners.

## 8. Background Papers

None.
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
WORK PROGRAMME 2011/2012

| Agenda Items | Final deadline for Reports (Provisional) | Agenda Despatch (Provisional *) | Date of Meeting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 (JE) <br> - Medium Term Financial Plan:- A periodic report detailing the reasons for employing any Consultant, setting out in particular the related costs and benefits be submitted to the Committee. (JE) <br> - Census 2011- attendance by ONS to discuss outstanding issues. (NM) <br> - Transactional Services-update report (PH) | Wednesday $31^{\text {st }}$ August 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friday }{ }^{2^{\text {nd }} \text { September }} \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday $13^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 |
| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 (JE) <br> - CCTV- current position <br> - Accommodation Strategy <br> - Policing in Slough <br> - Equalities | Wednesday $28^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 | Friday $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 | $\text { Tuesday } 11^{\text {th }}$ $\text { October } 2011$ |
| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 (JE) <br> - Procurement (JH) <br> - Localism Bill and Big Society | Wednesday $2^{\text {nd }}$ November 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friday } 4^{\text {th }} \text { November } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday $15^{\text {th }}$ November 2011 |
| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 <br> - Partnerships <br> - Asset Register <br> - Indices of Deprivation in Slough | Wednesday $23^{\text {rd }}$ November 2011 | Friday $25^{\text {th }}$ November 2011 | Tuesday $6^{\text {th }}$ December 2011 |


| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 <br> - Heart of Slough <br> - Effect of Economic Downturn on Slough | Wednesday $4^{\text {th }}$ January 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friday } 6^{\text {th }} \text { January } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday $17^{\text {th }}$ January 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Performance and financial Reporting 2011/12 <br> - Post-Implementation Review of Art @ the Centre Scheme (G Ralphs/R Kirkham) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friday } 20^{\text {th }} \text { January } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Tuesday $24^{\text {th }}$ January 2012 | Thursday $2^{\text {nd }}$ February 2012 |
|  | Wednesday 22 $^{\text {nd }}$ February 2012 | $\text { Friday } 24^{\text {th }} \text { February }$ $2012$ | Tuesday $6^{\text {th }}$ March 2012 |
|  | Wednesday $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ March 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friday } 30^{\text {th }} \text { March } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tuesday } 10^{\text {th }} \text { April } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |
| Unprogrammed items:- <br> - Customer Services Update and the impact of the budget on MyCouncil (RP) |  |  |  |

MEMBERS' ATTENDANCE RECORD
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

| COUNCILLOR | 07/06 | 12/07 | 13/09 | 11/10 | 15/11 | 06/12 | 17/01 | 02/02 | 06/03 | 10/04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basharat | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davis | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haines | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mann | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minas | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Munawar | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O'Connor | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plenty | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smith | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$P^{*}=$ Present for part of meeting
$A b=$ Absent, no apologies given
P = Present for whole meeting
Ap = Apologies given
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